ZEITSCHRIFT

FÜR

ÄGYPTISCHE SPRACHE

UND

ALTERTUMSKUNDE

MIT UNTERSTÜTZUNG DER DEUTSCHEN MORGENLÄNDISCHEN GESELLSCHAFT

HERAUSGEGEBEN VON

GEORG STEINDORFF

FÜNFZIGSTER BAND

MIT 47 ABBILDUNGEN IM TEXT UND 5 TAFELN



LEIPZIG J. C. HINRICHS'SCHE BUCHHANDLUNG 1912 A. M. BLACKMAN: Remarks on an Incense-Brazier.

In L. D. II, 104c (fig. 13), however, a string is attached to the cover¹, by which, doubtless, it was meant to be lifted in the event of the knob at the top be-

coming too hot to catch hold of. So perhaps both in fig. 12 and in \longrightarrow it is a string and not smoke that is depicted.

In Deir el Gebravi I, Plates X and XI; and id. II, Plates IV and XI; the pan is shewn without a cover and the priest seems to be stirring up the contents with a stick or spoon.

The ceremonial censing of a statue doubtless originated in the fact that it was the regular habit to perfume living people in this manner, either at a banquet, for instance, or on paying a visit. This fumigation of guests lasted on in Egypt well into the 19^{th} century. LANE in his *Modern Egyptians* (ed. 1895) p. 214, describing the custom, tells us that "the cover (of the mabkhara, *i.e.* censer) is pierced with apertures for the emission of the smoke (as in the

ancient example from Halfa) It is presented to the visitor or master, who wafts the smoke towards his face, beard, etc., with his right hand. Sometimes it is opened to emit the smoke more freely." This might be a description of fumigation as depicted in any of the tomb-scenes quoted above. The practice lingers on in Nubia to this day, and during a festival at Derr at which I was present, the master of the ceremonies insisted on fumigating me with an uncovered mabkhara to my great discomfort!

1) I do not feel at all certain that this is a cover. The object may really represent a fan in this case, for although its wide end is not rounded, its sides are convex, and it looks too large to be the lid of the censer. This, however, may be due to the faulty draughtmanship of the engraver of the plate.



68

[50. Band.

A. M. BLACKMAN: The Significance of Incense and Librations.

The Significance of Incense and Libations in Funerary and Temple Ritual.

By AYLWARD M. BLACKMAN.

In the Ritual of Amon¹ XII 7-10 a strange idea about the nature of incense is found, which can, however, be fully explained in the light of a similar belief about libations of water² that occurs in the Pyramid Texts. This perhaps accounts for the important place which the offering of both holds in the mortuary and temple services, and for the fact that they are often presented simultaneously⁸ or in immediate succession⁴.

Let us first consider the following libation-formulæ from the Pyramid Texts. (1) Pyramid 22-23.

"These thy libations Osiris! These thy libations o Unas, which have come forth before thy son, which have come forth before Horus! I have come I have brought to thee the Horus-eye that thy heart may be cool possessing it I offer thee the moisture that has issued from thee, that thy heart may not be still possessing it⁵."

(2) Pyr. 765-66.



1) Published by MORET in the Annales du Musée Guimet under the title of de Rituel du Culte ernalier en Égypte.

*) Called A ∬ in Pyr. 22, 765. 788 and ∬ in Pyr. 868 and in Pyr. 1360.
*) See for example MARIETTE, Abydos 1 p. 72, 32° tableau.
*) See SETHE, Pyramidentexte 17-24 where incense precedes libration.

*) \bigcap *i.e.* the Horus-eye which here of course is the libation.

70

A. M. BLACKMAN: The Significance of Incense and Libations. [50. Band.

"O Osiris Pepy, I offer to thee these thy libations, libations for thee before Horus, in thy name of Pr-m-kbb. I offer to thee natron (ntr) that thou mayest be divine. Thy mother Nut has caused thee to be a god unto thy enemy in thy name of "God." I offer to thee the moisture that has issued from thee."

"O Merneré, thy water, thy libation, the great flood that issued from thee!"



"Thy water belongs to thee, thy flood belongs to thee, thy fluid belongs to thee that issued from Osiris."

(5) Pyr. 2031.

N=====}

"Thy water belongs to thee, thy fluid belongs to thee, thy flood belongs to thee that issued from Osiris."



"Raise thyself up o illuminated one, o Mernere! Thy water belongs to thee, thy flood belongs to thee, thy fluid belongs to thee that issued from the corruption of Osiris."

(7) Pyr. 788 (P. 66). LA

"The offering of libations. Thy water belongs to thee, thy flood belongs to thee, the fluid that issued from the god, the exudations that issued from Osiris."

The general meaning of these passages is quite clear. The corpse of the deceased is dry and shrivelled. To revivify¹ it the vital fluids that have exuded from it must be restored, for not till then will life return and the heart beat again. This, so these texts shew us, was believed to be accomplished by offering libations to the accompaniment of incantations.

In examples (1), (2), and (3) the libations are said to be the actual fluids that have issued from the corpse². In (4), (5), (6), and (7) a different notion is introduced. It is not the deceased's own exudations that are to revive his shrunken frame but those of a divine body, the $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{3}$ that came from the corpse of Osiris himself, the juices that dissolved from his decaying flesh, which are communicated to the dead sacrament-wise under the form of these libations.

Let us now turn to Ritual of Amon XII 7-10, the passage already alluded to, which is as follows.

Rit. Amon XII 7 (MORET p. 115).

Ale here and the here and here here here here here here here her

1) That the dead was supposed to be revivified by the libation is shown by the words when the deceased is identified. By means of librations the heart no longer remains "still," and so the dead lives again as did Osiris thanks to the ministrations of Horus.

3)	- B	,00		100	B.
÷.		C) 1 1 200	o	* <u> </u>	1_29/0

The god's fluid is in a vase."

The Nile was supposed to be the $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^$ river was supposed to come pure from its source. For an early example of this see ryl. ose MANN, Hierogl. Inschrigten pl. LVI (Mark and State and

1912.]

× - 1 -

"The god comes with body adorned which he has fumigated with the eye of his body, the incense of the god which has issued from him, and the odour of the fluid which has issued from his flesh, the sweat of the god which has fallen to the ground, which he has given to all gods It is the Horus-eye. If it lives, the people $(rhy \cdot t)$ live, thy flesh lives, thy members are vigorous."

In the light of the Pyramid libation-formulæ the expressions in this text are quite comprehensible. Like the libations the grains of incense are the exudations of a divinity, "the fluid $(\bigcirc \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{N}])$ which issued from his flesh, the god's sweat descending to the ground."

In Ritual of Amon XII 11² much the same idea about incense again occurs.

the odour of the god comes, the grains (?) [of incense] come, the sweat of the god comes."

Here incense is not merely the "odour of the god" but the dgiw, or grains of resin, are said to be "the god's sweat."

Finally in a Middle Kingdom mortuary text (ÄZ. 47 p. 126) incense is called a first of the god's dew."

MORET seems to have misunderstood the significance of the first of these two extracts from the Ritual of Amon. He renders $\exists \downarrow \bigcirc \uparrow \downarrow \bigcirc \uparrow \downarrow \bigcirc$ to $\exists \exists \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \bigcirc$ $\exists \downarrow \bigcirc \uparrow \downarrow \bigcirc$ by "Les résines du dieu sortent de lui pour parfumer les humeurs sorties de ses chairs divines, les sécrétions tombées à terre. Tous les dieux lui ont donné ceci."

In his commentary he maintains that the use of incense is here purificatory and compares Pyr. 850, and Pyr. 1801 which are formulæ for purification by natron and ointment $(md \cdot t)$ respectively. In those two instances the $fd \cdot t$ is an unpleasant thing to be got rid of, not a sweet smelling excretion that is to be "given to all gods."

1) $\int \mathcal{Q} = \iint_{\mathbb{N}}$ (see AZ. 49, 24), referring to 2) See also Rit. Amon XXV 6 where incense is called 3) Cf. $\iint_{\mathbb{N}} \iint_{\mathbb{N}} \iint$

(see Urk. IV 874, 1. 9).

1912.]

Moreover I can find no example of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}$ used as a verb, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i=1}^{n} e_{i=1}^$

is merely the connective (ERMAN Gramm.²) joining \int_{0}^{∞} to \int_{0}^{∞} to \int_{0}^{∞} which is in apposition to \int_{0}^{∞} to \int_{0}^{∞} is the second se

Although incense as well as water mixed with $\frac{1}{2}$ and other solubles, such as $\int \Box = \nabla^{\Box}$ and $\{ \underbrace{\Box = 0}_{max} \circ, \$ were regularly employed for the purpose of purifications in both temple and mortuary chapel, this passage, which is now under discussion, no less than the libration-formulæ already dealt with, is concerned with a sacramental and not a purificatory rite.

There is still one doubtful point in *Ritual of Amon* XII 8. Is $\exists t = 0$ the exudations of the god who is fumigated or those of Osiris? The object of the rite is not affected, whatever view may be taken, for in either case it is performed to enable the corpse to regain its lost moisture. In the libration-

) a + a = a must mean the "Horus-eye" that is to say the offered incense, though owing to some mistake or misunderstanding it is here spoken of as the eye of the god who is being fumigated!

³) The fact that, owing to the influence of the Osiris-myth, the cult of the gods was in many respects so far identical with that of the dead, that the deities were addressed and ministered to every morning as though they were corpses needing to be revivified, requires no comment here. Zeitschr. f. Xgypt. Spr., 50. Band. 1912. 10

A. M. BLACKMAN: The Significance of Incense and Libritons.

150. Band.

formulæ we found that both these ideas occur, for according to three of them the liquids offered are the actual exudations of the deceased, while in the other two they are said to be those of Osiris. But the expression at the end of line 8 "which he hath given to all gods" suggests that Osiris is meant, since it is not likely that every god was supposed to give his "sweat" for the benefit of all gods.

This view is also supported by the expression $\int_{-\infty}^{-\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}$ Kingdom incense-formula already quoted, which concludes thus: $- \int \int \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{2}$ The incense comes (twice). The grains' [of incense?] come (twice). The toe comes. The back-bone of Osiris comes forth. The natron (?) comes (twice). The members come which issued from Osiris." Here most certainly incense which has just been called "the god's dew" is connected with Osiris and parts of his body, the virtues of which are doubtless supposed to be communicated to the deceased by fumigation.

We seem therefore to have good ground for asserting that in the phrase $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ [Pyr. 788] "the god" is Osiris².

I can find no instances in the Pyramid Texts in which incense³ is spoken of in the terms used in the Ritual of Amon and the M. K. funerary text. There this belief seems to have been attached to certain libations only. But even in the Pyramids incense, which is the gift of the Nubian god Dedwen⁴, is not always merely purificatory. Through the medium of the smoke mounting up from the censer, the deceased can enter into communion⁵ with certain gods who are accompanied by their Kas, and, apparently, he can at the same time be reunited

1) Cf. The first of the mon XII 11. Between this and the M. K. text there is a general resemblance and mining or corresponds to

the latter.

 $\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right|$ Pyr. 378, and also Pyr. 116 quoted below where *mn-ter* is said to be $\left| \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ \end{array} \right|$ Here, however, Horus is their source, from which they emanate, and not Osiris. 4) Pyr. 1017 and Pyr. 1718.

*) For this idea see also Pyr. 376 where the cloud of smoke is alluded to in 🕅 3

74

75

with his own Ka." O Unas, the arm of thy Ka is in front of thee! O Unas, the arm of thy Ka is behind thee! etc." Pyr. 17-18.

Also like other offerings it was supposed to possess a divine personality' عَالَي عَالَي مَنْ اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ عَلَي اللَّهُ ع

The conclusion would seem to be as follows. Although this belief about incense apparently does not occur in the Old Kingdom religious texts that are preserved to us, yet it may quite well be as ancient as that period. That is certainly ERMAN'S view with regard to the "*Ritual of Amon*" as expressed in his "*Handbook of Egyptian Religion*" p. 46. If that is the case, it becomes quite obvious why the burning of incense and the pouring of libations are so closely associated in the funeral and temple ritual. Both rites are performed for the same purpose—to revivify the body of god or man by restoring to it its lost moisture. Under the form of libations it was believed that either the actual fluids that had run from it, or those of Osiris himself, were communicated to the corpse. In the case of fumigation with incense it is the latter of these two ideas that seems to have prevailed, namely that the body was revivified not by the restoration of its own exudations but by receiving those of Osiris².

) See for example Pyr. 876 c; Ritual of Amon VII 9 where, as also in MACIVER, Buhon p. 50, incense is identified with Nbb.; and AZ. 47 126-127 where the divine personality of is indicated by the determinative $\frac{1}{2}$. Cf. also Ritual of Amon XXX 4, where, when the idmitbandage is offered, part of the formula was: - $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. We might also compare id. I, 5 where the different parts of the censer are addressed as divinities (see MORET, Rituel du Culte divin p. 16 for an excellent footnote on this point).

10

1912.]